

METHOD OF DETERMINING BOUNDARY INTERFACE CHANGES
IN A NATURAL RESOURCE DEPOSIT

CROSS REFERENCE TO PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit of the filing date of co-pending U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/197,618 filed April 14, 2000 by the applicants herein.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method of determining time-dependent boundary interface changes in a natural resource deposit and, more particularly, to a method by which measurements of the gravity gradient in the area of a natural resource deposit, such as a petroleum reservoir, can provide information as to reservoir density changes.

Oil and natural gas hydrocarbon reservoirs form as a consequence of the transformation of organic matter into various types of hydrocarbon materials, including coals, tars, oils, and natural gas. It is believed that oil and gas reservoirs form as lighter hydrocarbon molecules percolate toward the surface of the earth until they are trapped in a relatively permeable layer beneath a relatively impermeable layer that 'caps' the permeable layer. The lighter hydrocarbon molecules continue accumulating, often accompanied by water molecules, into relatively large sub-surface reservoirs. Since the reservoirs exist at various depths within the earth, they are often under substantial geostatic pressure.

Hydrocarbon resources have been extracted from surface and sub-surface deposits by the mining of solid resources (coal and tars) and by pumping or otherwise removing

natural gas and liquid oil from naturally occurring sub-surface deposits.

In the last century, natural gas and oil have been extracted by drilling a borehole into the sub-surface reservoirs. In general, most reservoirs were naturally pressurized by the presence of free natural gas that accumulated above the liquid oil layer and, often, by water that accumulated below the liquid oil layer. Since naturally occurring crude oil has a density lower than that of water (i.e., ranging from 0.7 in the case of 'light' crude oil to 0.9 in the case of 'heavy' crude oil), crude oil accumulates above the water-permeated layer and below the gas-permeated layer. Thus, a borehole terminating within the oil-permeated layer would yield oil that receives its driveout energy from an overlying gas-permeated layer and/or an underlying water-permeated layer.

In general, the 'primary' recovery of crude oil occurs during that period of time that the natural pressurization of a reservoir causes the crude oil to be driven upwardly through the well bore. At some point in the operating life of the reservoir, the naturally occurring pressurization is effectively depleted. Several different methods, known generally as secondary recovery methods, have been developed to extract crude oil after natural pressurization is exhausted. In general, secondary recovery involves repressurizing the reservoir with a fluid (i.e., a liquid or a gas) to lower the oil viscosity and/or drive the remaining crude oil in the oil-permeated layer to the surface through one or more wells. The drive fluid is introduced into the reservoir by injection wells which pump the pressurized drive fluid into the reservoir to displace

100

In the waterflood technique, water at ambient temperature is injected into a reservoir to drive the oil toward and to the producing wells. The injected water accumulates beneath the crude oil and, in effect, floats the lighter density crude oil upwardly toward and to the borehole of the producing well. In those cases where the oil-permeated layer is relatively thin from a geological perspective and is also confined between two relatively less permeable layers (i.e., an impermeable reservoir ceiling and a more permeable reservoir basement), water is injected at a relatively high pressure and volume to effect an 'edge drive' by which the crude oil is pushed toward the oil producing wells. Sometimes, the injected water is heated to assist in lowering the viscosity of the oil and

thereby assist in displacing the crude oil from the pores of the permeable sand or rock. The waterflood technique is also well-suited for driving natural gas entrapped within the pores of relatively low-permeability rock to a producing well.

In the steamflood technique, steam is used to displace or drive oil from the oil bearing sand or rock toward and to the producing wells. The steam, which may initially be superheated, is injected into the oil-permeated layer to cause a re-pressurization of the reservoir. As the steam moves away from its initial injection point, its temperature drops and the quality of the steam decreases with the steam eventually condensing into a hot water layer. Additionally, some of the lighter hydrocarbons may be distilled out of the crude oil as it undergoes displacement at the interface between the steam/hot water and the crude oil. The steam injection can be continuous or on an intermittent start-and-stop basis.

In addition to the use of water and steam to effect reservoir re-pressurization and the driveout of the crude oil toward the production wells, carbon dioxide and nitrogen have also been used for the same purpose.

One problem associated with water, steam, or gas driveout techniques is the identification of the boundary or interface between the driveout fluid and the crude oil. In an optimum situation, the boundary between the driveout fluid and the to-be-displaced crude oil would move in a predictable manner through the reservoir from the injection points to the production wells to maximize the production of crude oil. The geology of a reservoir is generally complex and non-homogeneous and often contains regions or zones of relatively higher permeability sand or rock; these

higher permeability zones can function as low-impedance pathways for the pressurized driveout fluid. The pressurized driveout fluid sometimes forms low-impedance channels, known as 'theft' zones, through which the pressurized fluid "punches through" to a producing well to thereby greatly decrease the recovery efficiency.

The ability to identify the position of and the often indistinct interface or boundary between the to-be-displaced crude oil and the pressurized driveout fluid, to track the velocity and morphology of that boundary, and to effect control thereof would substantially enhance secondary oil recovery.

Various techniques have been developed for gaining an understanding of the configuration of the sub-surface geology of an oil-containing reservoir. The dominant technique involves seismic echoing in which a pressure wave is directed downwardly into the sub-surface strata. The initial interrogation wave energy is typically created by the detonation of explosives or by specialized earth-impacting machines. The interrogation wave radiates from its source point with its transmission velocity affected by the elastic modulus and density of the material through which it passes. As with all wave energy, the interrogation wave is subject to reflection, refraction, scattering, absorption, and dampening effects caused by the material through which it passes and from which it is reflected. The reflected wave energy is detected by geophones spaced from the seismic source point and subjected to processing to yield a model of the reservoir. This technique is highly developed and well-suited for detecting sub-surface structures that may be favorable to the accumulation of oil or gas.

Other techniques for investigating sub-surface geology include the use of gravimeters to detect minute changes in the magnitude of the gravity vector for the purpose of detecting sub-surface structures that may be favorable to the accumulation of oil or gas.

United States Patent No. 6,152,226 issued Nov. 28, 2000 to Talwani, Feldman, and Schweitzer and in common assignment herewith discloses the use of gravity gradiometers to obtain information as to the gravity gradient at various data-taking positions on the surface above an oil reservoir and the use of a subsequent set or sets of data to detect the location and morphology of the boundary or interface between the pressurized driveout fluid and the oil or natural gas in those reservoirs undergoing secondary recovery. The data can be subject to inversion processing in an effort to determine changes in the sub-surface density; however, inversion techniques can result in a sub-optimum local minimum rather than the desired global minimum. The efficient processing of the data can provide information for a manager to more efficiently direct the application of any driveout fluids to optimize recovery from both a time and economic standpoint.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method of determining boundary interface changes in a natural resource deposit, such as a sub-surface oil reservoir, using time-lapse gravity gradient measurements and simulated annealing optimization to find the global minimum within the solution space that best represents the observed values. A plurality of observations points is established relative to the natural resource deposit and gravity gradiometric

measurements are taken at each observation point to provide a first observed data set. Thereafter and after the lapse of a period of time, a second set of measurements is similarly taken to provide a second observed data set and the time-lapse data set representative of the difference between the first and subsequent observed data sets. A model of the reservoir changes, including constraints, is established with a set of quantized mathematically related parameters defining at least the density features of the reservoir. That initial model is evaluated for a figure of merit and thereafter perturbed to establish a second model having a respective figure of merit. A subsequently established model is accepted as the next to-be-perturbed model if its figure of merit is either more optimal relative to the immediately preceding model and accepted if less optimal in accordance with a probability function that varies in accordance with a control parameter. The perturbing process is repeated while a control parameter decreases the probability function with successive repetitions until a predetermined stopping function is met.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

The present invention is described below, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is a schematic representation of time-displaced gradiometer surveys and their respective data sets;

FIG. 2 is a schematic representation of an iterative inversion process;

FIG. 3 is a graphical representation of the relationship between the probability of accepting a candidate solution (vertical or y-axis) and the associated

costs (horizontal or x-axis) for the candidate and the current solution;

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram representing the initialization of the inversion process;

FIG. 5 is a two-dimensional variable-depth reservoir model that uses a column structure;

FIG. 6 is a right parallelepiped reservoir model of vertically-stacked prisms or cells;

FIG. 7 is a graphical representation of the relationship between a control parameter T (vertical or y-axis) and a number of control values N_A (horizontal or x-axis);

FIG. 8 is a three-dimensional representation of a volume element indicating relation to a typical measurement site;

FIG. 9 is a graphical representation of an exemplary volume element; and

FIG. 10 is a detailed flow diagram illustrating the inversion search process.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

In the preferred embodiment, the present invention is utilized in the context of plural time-separated sets of gravity gradiometer measurements taken relative to an oil reservoir undergoing secondary oil recovery consequent to the injection of steam, hot water, and/or other fluids via injection wells to cause the injected fluid to drive the oil toward and to an output well or wells. In general, a number of observation points are established on the oil field under survey; it is important that the location of the observation points be fixed for the period of the first and subsequent surveys. A gravity gradiometer, as described in the aforementioned commonly assigned U.S.

Patent 6,152,226 (incorporated herein by reference), is located at a first observation point. The gravity gradiometer then takes data at the observation station for some period of time sufficient to insure the reliability of gravity gradient data. Thereafter, the gravity gradiometer is moved to the next successive measurement point and measurements taken at that next successive measurement point. The process is repeated until data are taken at all observation points to provide, as shown in FIG. 1, a first data set DS(1).

A period of time (measured in weeks, months, or years) is allowed to lapse during which time the oil field undergoes continuous or non-continuous pressurization by the injected driveout fluid to cause migration of the boundary or interface between the driveout fluid and the oil to be recovered. The driveout fluid can take the form of steam and/or heated water, nitrogen, or carbon dioxide. As used herein, the application of steam as the driveout fluid encompasses the aforementioned fluids. After the inter-test period has elapsed, the test sequence is repeated to yield another, second data set DS(2) of data. As can be appreciated and as represented in FIG. 1, a third data set DS(3), an N^{th} data set DS(N), and a further data set DS(N+1) can be taken. In addition to data available from two successive data sets, composite data can be obtained from two non-successive data sets.

In general, an arbitrary mass distribution, such as an underground oil reservoir, gives rise to a scalar gravity potential field U whose value at the point (x,y,z) is denoted $U(x,y,z)$. The gradient of U at each point x,y,z is the gravity vector at that point as presented by

$$g(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} U_x \\ U_y \\ U_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial U / \partial x \\ \partial U / \partial y \\ \partial U / \partial z \end{bmatrix}$$

and the directional derivative of g is the symmetric gravity gradient tensor represented by

$$\Gamma(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} U_{xx} & U_{xy} & U_{xz} \\ U_{yx} & U_{yy} & U_{yz} \\ U_{zx} & U_{zy} & U_{zz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial^2 U / \partial x^2 & \partial^2 U / \partial x \partial y & \partial^2 U / \partial x \partial z \\ \partial^2 U / \partial y \partial x & \partial^2 U / \partial y^2 & \partial^2 U / \partial y \partial z \\ \partial^2 U / \partial z \partial x & \partial^2 U / \partial z \partial y & \partial^2 U / \partial z^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

At each point on an equipotential surface of a gravity potential field, the quantities, $U_{xx} - U_{yy}$ and $2U_{xy}$, derived from the elements of the gravity gradient tensor, characterize the curvature or shape of the surface, and hence provide a measure of the underlying mass distribution.

Thus, the above-described surveys symbolically presented in FIG. 1 provide plural sets of measurements of $U_{xx} - U_{yy}$ and $2U_{xy}$, separated by a sufficient time, that characterize the mass density changes of the reservoir for each pair of measurement sets. The differences between the measurement sets quantify the changes in the curvature of the equipotential surface during the time period between surveys. As described below, the differences between the different data sets provide information as to the changes in the location and morphology of the interface between the to-be-recovered oil and the driveout fluid.

The data sets obtained during each survey are indicative of the changes in the underlying density distribution in the field; the data sets, however, do not directly indicate that density distribution. As can be

appreciated, many different changes of sub-surface density distributions can give rise to a particular data set.

The method of the present invention, as schematically represented in FIG. 2, utilizes an 'inversion' process to determine the causation of the observed effect. Thus and in the context of the present invention, the inversion method herein determines the changes to the mass distribution that gave rise to the differences/changes between to the various data sets. The inversion process is an iterative process that leads to a desired solution, as explained in more detail below in relationship to FIGS. 4 and 10.

The use of time-lapse gravity measurements as inputs to the inverse gravity method of the present invention eliminates the mass contributions of time-invariant geological and physical features. Time-invariant features can include, for example, geologic formations and man-made structures sufficiently near to the observation points to contribute the gradiometer measurements. Thus, the 'inversion' solution limits the search to that portion of the mass which changed between the measurements, principally in response to the pressurized driveout fluid. Inversion of time-lapse gravity data is termed "4D inversion" to differentiate it from "3D gravity inversion," which attempts to identify all geophysical features from a single set of measurements.

The injection of steam, hot water, and/or other fluid into oil reservoirs in the thermal enhanced oil recovery (i.e., secondary oil recovery) processes changes the amounts of steam, oil, and water in the affected regions of the reservoir. These changing proportions of materials of

different densities cause sub-surface density changes which can be observed in time-lapse gravity measurements.

As shown in FIG. 1 the initial survey (S1) establishes a measurement baseline. After the time-displaced second survey (S2), a time-lapse data set can be formed, and a first inversion performed (as represented in FIG. 2). Each subsequent survey is used to form a new incremental time-lapse data set representing changes over the survey interval, or to form a composite time-lapse data set representing changes over several survey intervals. As shown in FIG. 2, the inversion of an incremental or composite time-lapse data set is initiated with the results of the inversion whose time-lapse data-set input final survey is the initial survey of that data set. Inversion of the initial incremental or composite time-lapse data set is initialized with an all-zero estimate (no reservoir density changes), or with a random assignment of reservoir density changes.

The disclosed method uses a form of the simulated annealing optimization presented in Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A., Rosenbluth, M., Teller, A., and Teller, E., 1953, Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines, J. Chem. Phys., 21, 1087-1092, and Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C.D., Jr., and Vecchi, M.P., 1983, Optimization by Simulated Annealing, Science, 220, 671-680. The use of simulated annealing methods in geophysical inversion problems is discussed in Sen, M. and Stoffa, P., Global Optimization Methods in Geophysical Inversion, Elsevier Science B.V., 1995, and a description of simulated annealing and procedure for 3D inversion of gravity anomaly data to locate diapiric salt roots is presented in Nagihara, S., Urizar, C., and Hall, S.A., "Three-

Dimensional Gravity Inversion Based On The Simulated Annealing Algorithm For Constraining Diapiric Roots Of Salt Canopies," 69th Annual Meeting, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 31 October - 5 November 1999, Houston, Texas.

In general, simulated annealing is an optimization technique based upon analogies with the physical process of annealing by which a material undergoes extended heating and is thereafter slowly cooled. The simulated annealing technique exploits parallels between computer simulations of the annealing process, wherein a solid is heated and then gradually cooled to a minimum energy state, and optimization problems, wherein a set of system parameter values which minimizes an energy-like objective, cost function, or figure of merit is sought. The parameter set in the optimization problem corresponds to the particles that comprise the solid in the annealing process, and the values assigned to those parameters to form a candidate solution correspond to the states of the respective particles. The value of the objective function for a candidate solution in the optimization problem corresponds to the energy level in the annealing process. A desired global minimum in the optimization problem corresponds to a minimum energy state in the annealing process. A control parameter in the optimization algorithm plays the role of the temperature in the annealing process. This control parameter is used to control the probability of accepting a candidate solution in a manner that parallels the possible occurrence of non-minimum-energy states in the annealing process. Candidate solutions with costs lower than the current solution are accepted with probability one. Candidates with higher costs are not rejected, but are

accepted with a probability that lies between zero and one, and that decreases as the value of the control parameter decreases (just as high-energy states become less likely as the solid cools). FIG. 3 illustrates the effect of a typical implementation of the control parameter (denoted by T) on the solution-acceptance probability. By accepting all improvements and some degradations, the simulated annealing technique can avoid local minimums in its search for a sought-after global minimum. Terminology as used in simulated annealing optimization is used herein.

FIG. 4 is a process flow diagram illustrating the steps to effect initialization of the presently disclosed method; the inversion search process is shown in FIG 10. As shown in FIG. 4, the process is initiated by entering (i.e., loading) a new time-lapse data set or by retrieving a previously-stored time-lapse data set from a file, defining a new reservoir model or retrieving a previously-defined reservoir model from a file, defining or retrieving applicable constraints, selecting a model-perturbation method, assigning values to algorithm parameters, forming an initial estimate, computing the gradients and curvatures corresponding to that initial estimate, and determining the cost associated with the initial estimate. The individual steps in the initialization are described below.

Time-lapse data sets are entered manually or retrieved from storage. As mentioned above, the formation of one time-lapse data set requires two measurements at each site, separated by a sufficient period of time. Survey data consist of the three-dimensional position coordinates of each measurement site, the time-lapse gravity gradient data measured at that site, and the time between the two measurements used to form the time-lapse difference. The

pertinent time-lapse gravity gradient data are the two components of curvature, $U_{xx}-U_{yy}$ and $2U_{xy}$, so each survey datum consists of six quantities.

The disclosed method allows the user to select one of two types of reservoir model structures, shown schematically in FIGS. 5 and 6, to form a three-dimensional discretized reservoir model. The first model, as shown in FIG. 5, is a two-dimensional variable-depth model that uses a column structure; a two-dimensional grid with coordinates identified by (x_m, y_m) pairs is used to define the horizontal extent of the reservoir. The vertical extent of the reservoir is defined by specifying the depths of the top (z_{TOP}) and bottom (z_{BOTTOM}) of the reservoir, and can be partitioned into separate zones. This model may be used when the regions of each vertical reservoir zone that are affected by driveout fluid injection can be taken to be constrained to extend downward from the upper boundary of that zone. The portion of each zone affected by steam injection is then specified by assigning a depth to each horizontal coordinate pair, with the assigned depth denoting the downward vertical extent of the affected region below the zone top.

The second model, as shown in FIG. 6, is the more general model and appears as a right parallelepiped of vertically-stacked prisms or cells arranged pursuant to a three-dimensional rectangular grid. The vertices are determined by a three-dimensional grid with coordinates defined by (x_m, y_m, z_m) triples, to define the entire three-dimensional extent of the reservoir. The ranges of the x_m and y_m coordinates are selected to cover the horizontal extent of the oil reservoir. The x_m and y_m grid ranges and spacings are selected independently by the user. The range

of the third (z_m) coordinate defines the vertical extent of the oil reservoir. The range of the vertical coordinate can be uniform over the horizontal extent of the reservoir, or can vary with horizontal position, and the vertical grid spacing need not be uniform and may vary with depth. The reservoir can be partitioned into independent vertical zones by identifying the z_m coordinates of each zone. The individual prisms or cells (i.e., volume elements) are identified by their coordinates, and the portion of the reservoir affected by driveout fluid injection is specified by identifying the affected volume elements. Constraints on the nature of the regions of the reservoir that are affected by steam injection can be imposed by restricting the volume elements selected; there are no inherent model constraints (as there are in the two-dimensional variable-depth model of FIG. 5).

Each volume element of the selected reservoir model (i.e., the FIG. 5 model or the FIG. 6 model) is considered to be composed of porous rock that admits a mix of oil, steam, and water. The amounts of oil, steam, and water in a volume element, which vary as the injected steam propagates through the reservoir, are described by the respective saturation levels $\sigma_o(t)$, $\sigma_s(t)$, and $\sigma_w(t)$. The composite density $\rho_c(t_N)$ of a volume element at measurement time t_N is a function of these saturation levels at that time, and of the respective densities of the oil, steam, and water, denoted by ρ_o , ρ_s , and ρ_w :

$$\rho_c(t_N) = p(\rho_o\sigma_o(t_N) + \rho_s\sigma_s(t_N) + \rho_w\sigma_w(t_N)) + (1-p)\rho_r$$

where p is the porosity of the element and ρ_r is the density of the rock. If the porosity and rock density are taken to be constant, the density change between measurements

depends only on the changes in the oil, water, and steam saturation levels:

$$\Delta\rho_c(t_N) = p(\rho_o\Delta\sigma_o(t_N) + \rho_s\Delta\sigma_s(t_N) + \rho_w\Delta\sigma_w(t_N))$$

where each $\Delta\sigma_k(t_N)$, ($k=o,s,w$), is the change in the respective saturation level since the time of the previous measurement (t_{N-1}). The time-lapse change in the mass of each discrete volume element is therefore fully determined by the changes in the levels of steam, oil, and water saturation in that element.

The disclosed method seeks the density changes, represented by the values assigned to the collection of saturation triples $\{\sigma_o, \sigma_s, \sigma_w\}$, which best explain the measured time-lapse changes in curvature. A candidate solution is obtained by assigning values to the collection of saturation triples, and the "goodness" of the candidate is assessed by means of a cost functional which quantifies the difference between the measured curvature and the curvature computed from the candidate. But the relationship between mass distribution and gravity signature is not unique - many distributions can produce the same signature - and the inversion can converge to solutions that conflict with information about the reservoir and the progress of steam propagation that is available from independent sources.

One way to steer the inversion process to avoid unreasonable or undesirable solutions is to use *a priori* information about the true solution to constrain the allowable candidate solutions. These constraints can be applied directly, by limiting candidate models to those that satisfy the constraints, or indirectly, by allowing models that violate the constraints, but penalizing those

models by appending a constraint-violation term to the curvature-mismatch cost functional.

The disclosed method uses *a priori* information about the reservoir geometry and geological characteristics, and about the volume of steam injected between the two surveys used to form the time-lapse measurement set. The reservoir geometry and geological characteristics are used directly to define the reservoir model and model parameter values, and to specify the type and extent of model perturbations considered. The volume of steam injected over the measurement interval establishes an upper bound on the amount of steam that could have been added to the reservoir. In the disclosed method, the user can select to use this bound directly, by restricting candidate models to those that satisfy the volume constraint, or indirectly, by penalizing violating models.

A second way to avoid unreasonable solutions is to incorporate other non-gravitational measurement data into the process. Other measurement data can be used directly, by computing the model-based value of the measured quantity for each candidate model and appending a model/measurement mismatch term for the quantity to the cost functional, or indirectly, by using the measurements to infer additional constraints on the reservoir or the steam chest within the reservoir (e.g., using borehole temperature measurements to constrain the location of the steam chest and limit model perturbations accordingly).

Successive candidate solutions are obtained by making small changes (i.e., perturbations) to the current solution. A solution is perturbed by modifying the saturation levels for a portion of the total model volume. Each saturation level (oil, water, and steam) is randomly

selected either from a continuum of values in predefined allowable ranges, or from a quantized set of values covering the allowable ranges. The method for selecting the volume to be modified depends on the model structure selected.

For the two-dimensional variable-depth model of FIG. 5 (column structure), the volume to be modified is determined by selecting the vertical reservoir zone to be modified; selecting the horizontal footprint of the volume in that zone to be modified; and selecting the downward projection of that horizontal footprint below the upper boundary of the zone.

The vertical reservoir zone is selected randomly. The horizontal footprint is selected in one of three ways:

(1) The grid elements that comprise the horizontal footprint are selected randomly. The number of elements selected may be fixed for all iterations, with the number selected by the user during initialization, or may vary randomly from iteration to iteration, with the range of variation selected by the user during initialization. The elements may be selected from anywhere within the horizontal bounds of the model, or may be constrained to subregions of the model that are randomly selected from iteration to iteration.

(2) The grid elements of the horizontal footprint lie in one or more annular angular segments. The size of the angular segments (between 0° and 360°) is selected during initialization by the user. The number of angular segments may be fixed for all iterations, with the number selected by the user during initialization, or may vary randomly from iteration to iteration, with the range of variation selected by the user during initialization. The outer

radius of the annular region within the selected angular segments is selected randomly for each iteration. The inner radius may be selected randomly for each iteration, or set to zero for all iterations.

(3) The grid elements that comprise the horizontal footprint lie in a neighborhood of an element selected randomly at each iteration. The size of the neighborhood (specified by the number of elements in each direction) is selected by the user during initialization.

The extent of the downward projection from the selected horizontal footprint is determined by the random selection of a depth change from among a set of candidate depths changes for the selected vertical reservoir zone, and random selection of the direction (up or down) of the depth change from the current depth of the affected region at those points in that zone. The new depth of the affected region is constrained to remain within the depth limits of the selected zone.

For the three-dimensional grid model of FIG. 6, the volume in which the saturation levels are to be changed is selected in one of three ways:

(1) The individual volume elements that comprise the total volume to be changed are selected randomly. The number of elements selected may be fixed for all iterations, with the number selected by the user during initialization, or may vary randomly from iteration to iteration, with the range of variation selected by the user during initialization. The elements may be selected from anywhere within the horizontal and vertical bounds of the model, or may be constrained horizontally and/or vertically to subregions of the model that are randomly selected from iteration to iteration.

(2) The total volume to be changed lies in a region whose horizontal footprint consists of one or more annular angular segments. The size of the angular segments (between 0° and 360°) is selected during initialization by the user. The number of angular segments may be fixed for all iterations, with the number selected by the user during initialization, or may vary randomly from iteration to iteration, with the range of variation selected by the user during initialization. The outer radius of the annular region within the selected angular segments is selected randomly for each iteration. The inner radius may be selected randomly for each iteration, or set to zero for all iterations. The vertical extent of the annular angular volume to be changed is determined by selecting a single vertical layer or a group of vertical layers of the three-dimensional model. The same inner and outer radii may be used for all selected vertical layers, or the radii may vary randomly from layer to layer within the inner and outer radius constraints of the horizontal footprint. The random variation may be constrained to be monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing with depth.

(3) The volume elements to be changed lie in a neighborhood of an element selected randomly at each iteration. The size of the neighborhood (specified by the number of volume elements in each direction) is selected by the user during initialization.

The user defines an initial value (T_0) and a "cooling schedule" for the simulated annealing algorithm control parameter. The cooling schedule is determined by specifying a control parameter decay rate (δ) or by providing a set of control parameter values, and by specifying the number of model perturbations performed

(number of solutions tried) at each value of the control parameter T_i . If the cooling schedule is specified by a decay rate, the number of steps in the reduction of the control parameter (N_A) must be specified, and the control parameter updates are computed as

$$T_{k+1} = \delta T_k.$$

FIG. 7 illustrates the control parameter behavior for several values of decay rate δ .

The inversion process starts with an initial estimate. Inversion of the first measured time-lapse data set for a oil reservoir can be initialized with an all-zero estimate (no saturation changes), or with a random assignment of saturation values. Inversions of subsequent time-lapse data sets can use the results of an inversion of an earlier data set as an initial estimate. Inversions can be interrupted to assess results, and re-started from the point of interruption. Interrupted inversions are continued by initializing with the last solution accepted before the interruption. Finally, inputs from parallel inversions can be collected and used to form a single estimate to initialize continued processing.

Once an initial estimate is formed, the necessary gradients are computed at each survey site. The change in potential at a survey site P with coordinates (x, y, z) is

$$\Delta U(x, y, z) = G \int_V \frac{\Delta \rho(x_m, y_m, z_m)}{|r_m|} dv$$

where G is the universal gravitational constant (6.673×10^{-11} $\text{m}^3/\text{kg}\cdot\text{sec}^2$), $\Delta \rho$ is the change in density in the differential volume element dv , r_m is the radius vector from the survey site P to dv , and the integration is over the reservoir

volume, as represented in FIG. 8. The time-lapse gradients are obtained by taking the appropriate second derivatives of the change in potential. For the preferred implementation, the time-lapse gradients needed to compute curvature changes are:

$$U_{xx}(x, y, z) = G \int_V \left[\frac{3\Delta\rho(x-x_m)^2}{|r_m|^5} - \frac{\Delta\rho}{|r_m|^3} \right] dv$$

$$U_{yy}(x, y, z) = G \int_V \left[\frac{3\Delta\rho(y-y_m)^2}{|r_m|^5} - \frac{\Delta\rho}{|r_m|^3} \right] dv$$

$$U_{xy}(x, y, z) = G \int_V \left[\frac{3\Delta\rho(x-x_m)(y-y_m)}{|r_m|^5} \right] dv .$$

For the discretized reservoir model, these integrals can be evaluated by evaluating subintegrals over each model volume element and adding the results:

$$U_{xx}(x, y, z) = G \sum_i \int_{V_i} \left[\frac{3\Delta\rho_i(x-x_m)^2}{|r_m|^5} - \frac{\Delta\rho_i}{|r_m|^3} \right] dv_i$$

$$U_{yy}(x, y, z) = G \sum_i \int_{V_i} \left[\frac{3\Delta\rho_i(y-y_m)^2}{|r_m|^5} - \frac{\Delta\rho_i}{|r_m|^3} \right] dv_i$$

$$U_{xy}(x, y, z) = G \sum_i \int_{V_i} \left[\frac{3\Delta\rho_i(x-x_m)(y-y_m)}{|r_m|^5} \right] dv_i$$

where i ranges over all the volume elements in the model. In the preferred implementation, this sum is restricted by limiting it to those volume elements whose density has been changed by the current model perturbation. For sufficiently small volume elements, these subintegrals can be evaluated by treating each volume element as a point mass located at the center of the element. For the volume element shown in FIG. 9, the total mass change

$$\Delta m_{ijk}(t_N) = \Delta \rho_{ijk}(t_N) v_{ijk} ,$$

where $\Delta \rho_{ijk}$ is the density change in the element and v_{ijk} is the element volume

$$v_{ijk} = (x_m^{i+1} - x_m^i)(y_m^{j+1} - y_m^j)(z_m^{k+1} - z_m^k) ,$$

is taken to be located at the center (x_m^*, y_m^*, z_m^*) of the volume element:

$$(x_m^*, y_m^*, z_m^*) = \{ \frac{1}{2}(x_m^1 + x_m^{i+1}), \frac{1}{2}(y_m^j + y_m^{j+1}), \frac{1}{2}(z_m^k + z_m^{k+1}) \} .$$

The cost functional is the figure of merit used to assess the "goodness" of a candidate model. The principal component of the cost functional is a measure of the mismatch between the measured gravity quantities and the values of those quantities as computed from the candidate model. In the preferred implementation, the quantities of interest are the two components of curvature at each survey site, denoted c_1 and c_2 :

$$c_1 = U_{xx} - U_{yy}$$

$$C_2 = 2U_{xy}.$$

If there are N survey sites, the inversion for the preferred implementation is based on the N measurement pairs $\{c_{1mk}, c_{2mk}\}_{k=1, \dots, N}$, where the m subscript denotes the measured values of the quantities and the k subscript identifies the survey site. For each candidate model, there is a corresponding set of N computed pairs $\{c_{1ck}, c_{2ck}\}_{k=1, \dots, N}$, where the c subscript denotes the computed values of the quantities. Every candidate model has N error pairs associated with it, $\{e_{1k}, e_{2k}\}_{k=1, \dots, N}$, where e_{jk} is the difference between the computed and measured values of c_j at site k :

$$e_{1k} = c_{1ck} - c_{1mk}$$

$$e_{2k} = c_{2ck} - c_{2mk}.$$

The gravity component of the cost functional is

$$E_G = ||e||^2$$

where e is the $2N$ -element error vector

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} e_{1k} \\ e_{2k} \end{bmatrix}_{k=\{1, \dots, N\}}$$

and

$$||e||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N (e_{1k}^2 + e_{2k}^2).$$

During initialization, the user selects whether to include in the cost functional a term that depends on the

steam volume of the candidate model, and whether to include that volume cost only for models whose volume exceeds the volume constraint, or for all models. (If volume costs are included for all models, models that violate the volume constraint will contribute more to the cost functional than those that satisfy the constraint.) If the volume cost is to be included, the user selects the weight to be applied to the computed model volume. The overall volume weight is a combination of an absolute weight and a weight inversely proportional to the gravity component of the cost functional (so significant volume costs are incurred only after a reasonable gravity match is obtained). If v_c is the volume constraint and v_m is the computed steam volume for the candidate model, the volume component of the cost functional is

$$E_v = [1 - \gamma + \gamma u(r_v - 1)] [\alpha + (\beta / ||E_g||)] r_v$$

where r_v is the ratio of the model volume to the volume constraint

$$r_v = v_m / v_c$$

and $u(x)$ is the unit step function

$$u(x) = 1, \quad x \geq 0$$

$$u(x) = 0, \quad x < 0.$$

The above equation for the volume component E_v constitutes a penalty function which introduces the volume constraint in a "soft" manner, i.e., "soft" meaning that the constraint can be violated, but only with a cost penalty. The three parameters α , β , and γ are used to

implement the user selections. If the user chooses not to include the volume cost, all three are set to 0 and the volume cost is disabled. To add a volume cost only when the model volume exceeds the constraint, γ is set to 1; otherwise γ is set to 0 and all models contribute to the cost functional. α controls the absolute volume weighting, and β controls the gravity-cost-dependent weighting. The total cost functional E is the sum of the gravity and volume components:

$$E = E_G + E_V.$$

FIG. 10 illustrates the inversion search process. A new model is generated from the current model by perturbing the current model using one of the perturbation techniques described above. The gradients, volume, and temperatures associated with the new model are computed and compared to the appropriate measurements or constraints to determine the cost associated with the new model (E_{NEW}). The difference between the cost associated with the new model and that associated with the old model (E_{OLD}),

$$\Delta E = E_{NEW} - E_{OLD},$$

is used to compute the acceptance threshold $e^{-\Delta E/T}$. The acceptance threshold is used to determine whether the old model is to be retained or replaced by the new model. A random number x , uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, is selected. If

$$x \leq e^{-\Delta E/T},$$

the old model is replaced by the new model; otherwise, the new model is discarded. If $E_{\text{NEW}} \leq E_{\text{OLD}}$, $\Delta E \leq 0$ and $e^{-\Delta E/T} \geq 1$. Since x is always less than one, new models with lower costs will always be accepted. If $E_{\text{NEW}} \geq E_{\text{OLD}}$, $\Delta E \geq 0$ and $0 \leq e^{-\Delta E/T} \leq 1$, and

$$\text{Prob}\{\text{Accepting New Model}\} = \text{Prob}\{x \leq e^{-\Delta E/T}\} = \int_0^{e^{-\Delta E/T}} dx = e^{-\Delta E/T},$$

so new models with higher costs will be accepted with probability $e^{-\Delta E/T}$, which decreases with decreasing T as shown in FIG. 3. After the model acceptance decision has been made, status checks are performed before the next model perturbation. If the specified number of iterations at the current value of the control parameter (T) have been completed, results are saved to allow the inversion to be continued from the current point if the process is interrupted, and the control parameter is updated. If all iterations for all control parameter values have been performed, the inversion is terminated.

The present invention advantageously provides a method of determining boundary interface changes in a natural resource deposit using simulated annealing optimization techniques in which a model is successively perturbed to generate a new model that is tested for acceptability until an optimum model is obtained from the solution space. The present invention is suitable for applications involving geothermal monitoring, ground-water hydrology, and environmental remediation.

As will be apparent to those skilled in the art, various changes and modifications may be made to the

illustrated method of determining boundary interface changes in a natural resource deposit of the present invention without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as determined in the appended claims and their legal equivalent.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
222